


Earth's Temperature is Returning to Normal

According to the Anthropogenic Climate Change (ACC) alarmists, “the science is settled”¹. They infer from that statement that science has proved that the planet is warming due to the effects of humans and their production of carbon dioxide. However, science is rarely, if ever, ‘settled’.² In fact, according to the scientific method, until a proposition is unequivocally supported by empirical science, it cannot be regarded as a fact (truth). Prior to that it is an hypothesis or a theory.

As there are contradictory evidences from the sciences concerning ACC it must still be regarded as an hypothesis, not a theory or a fact. Why? Because climate cannot be examined under the scientific method using empirical science, in the first place. Secondly, there are contradictions, which mean it cannot go past an hypothesis. Therefore, it should never be regarded as a fact or promulgated as a fact, because science doesn't prove it in any way shape or form.

For example: Look at this graph of the historic temperatures of the Earth which have been calculated³ from a 3km deep ice core drilled through the Greenland ice sheet, from 1950 & going back 10,000 years.⁴



This graph represents scientific data. Real data⁵, not anecdotal data or hunches or guesswork. It should be included in the science used to evaluate whether humans are the main cause of climate change (i.e. Anthropogenic Climate Change). So, what does the graph show us in simple terms?

1. The temperature of the planet does not stay constant, it changes (i.e. climate change).
2. Temperatures have stayed within a range of 4°C. (Unfortunately there's no key to explanation of the temperature scale on the graph)
3. The temperature oscillates, going up and down (changing between warmer & cooler).
4. There have been big spikes of warm and big spikes of cool.
5. There are temperature cycles of around 1,000 years and 200 years in length, plus smaller ones

1 – “The science is settled” www.climaterealityproject.org/climate-101

2 – CSIRO (2021) “Is the science settled?” www.csiro.au/en/research/environmental-impacts/climate-change/Climate-change-QA/Science

3 – Ancient temperatures can't be measured in the ice.

4 – Jo Nova (21-2-2010) “The big picture: 65 million years of temperature swings” joannenova.com.au/2010/02/the-big-picture-65-million-years-of-temperature-swings

5 – Actually proxy data. www1.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/paleo/about/what-is-paleo.txt

which can't be estimated from the graph.

6. The long-term mean correlation is a curve downwards of 3°C, to today.
7. The long-term decline began back 7,000 years ago.
8. The short rise in the past 150 years is a return from a deep cooling.
9. The Minoan, Roman and Medieval warmings were recorded in the ice core.
10. The Little Ice Age was recorded in the ice core.

That's all the scientific data⁶ that this graph tells us, at a simple level.

Here's what we can infer from the data, apart from the above facts:

1. The planet's temperature has been much warmer before today and life wasn't destroyed.
2. The biosphere thrived in warmer periods or we wouldn't have the life we have around us today.
3. We are coming out of a cool dip that occurred 300-odd years ago called the Little Ice Age.
4. We are warming towards another warm peak, sometime in the future.
5. The rapid rise in the past 150 years is no faster than other periods of warming.

CONCLUSION: This data set is part of the evidence that must be used to investigate Anthropogenic Climate Change, it cannot be ignored or discounted. It doesn't prove or deny that humans are responsible for a rapid rise in the planet's temperature. It does show that there's nothing to fear from a warmer planet because it was much warmer before. It does indicate that our planet is on its way back to its normal, mean temperature, so we shouldn't be concerned.

VERY IMPORTANT



This is factual scientific data which must be included in the science of Anthropogenic Climate Change. This is not a computer model based on human assumptions, or on an hypothesis. That being said, ice core science is based on assumptions and theories about the past. Therefore, the data cannot be accepted as accurate because there are no eyewitness records of Earth's average climate going back 10,000 years and more. However, this is data that must be included in any analysis of ACC today. If the climate models are acceptable, then ice core modelling must also be accepted. To ignore this evidence tantamounts to wilful manipulation of the evidence in favour of an existing belief. And, that's not real science.

Laurence
16-5-2021
(www.CanberraForerunners.org)

COPYRIGHT

*This document is free to copy, republish and distribute, but only 'as is'. It is free to quote from at length.
All Canberra Forerunners' documents are licensed under
Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License
Quotes are the copyright of their authors.
Free graphics are from www.ciker.com & free photos are from commons.wikimedia.org unless otherwise credited.*

6 – The original data came from here: www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/paleoclimatology-data