

Australia's Greatest Threat is the UN

DATE: Election Day, Australian Federal Election, 21st May 2022

Let me make a plain statement: The United Nations wants to govern the whole world.

For 30 years or more I have been saying that the wilderness areas set up in Australia under the UNESCO World Heritage Convention¹ (which Environment Australia reports to UNESCO on every year²) are lands that the UN owns, for all intents and purposes. They could claim it as theirs at any time in the future without international or national objection. They could then use it as a platform to invade us with their UN peace-keeper troops. Yes, I've said all along that the UN's peace keepers are a smoke screen for an enforcement army that they will have once they are able to govern the world. No one believed me, but it's becoming much clearer now.

Why don't people realise this? Here's why:

It's a tried-&-true practice for insiders to plan strategies for an outcome they want, but to sell the process to outsiders with 'sugar coating' so they ignorantly go along with it. The insider's outcome is always kept secret, with only the 'benefits' for outsiders being divulged.

This is what's going on with the UN's activities. Global governance (AKA One World Government) is about taking away nations' sovereignty and placing them and their citizens under a central over-lord system.

For Australia, the above statement is the real, but hidden, issue in this election. Everything else that we think matters in the election is servile to that statement and controlled by it. That means that all the election promises are 'sweeteners' which mask hidden agendas. That's the case, even if the politicians spruiking them are acting along party lines, but are oblivious to what's really going on behind the scenes.

While preparing to vote in the Federal Election this year, I've had inside me the understanding, in recent months, that something will be exposed just before Election Day which will greatly impact the outcome of the election. That exposé happened this week with media releases of information that the UN's WHO³ will be soon voting on a bill to see the creation of a treaty that would make it the controller of world health, over-&-above nations. In my spirit I knew this was what I had been waiting for, and it was with that knowledge that I then cast my vote so I worked against the WHO's impact on Australia.

So, what's this treaty all about? In this article I will use media sources to check out the details and then propose some possible, yet unanticipated, outcomes of the treaty.

The New WHO Treaty

Mysteriously, in line with Klaus Schwab's plan for COVID-19 to be the catalyst for 'The Great Reset'⁴, the UN has seized on the pandemic as an opportunity to expand it's control over the world, this time in health issues through the WHO. This comes under their 'sugar-coated' covering of "The World Together" and comes out of it's charter giving them authority to deal with any issue on health.

"The Health Assembly met in a Special Session, the second-ever since WHO's founding in 1948, and adopted a sole decision titled: "The World Together". The decision by the Assembly establishes an intergovernmental negotiating body (INB) to draft and negotiate a WHO convention, agreement, or other international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response, with a view to adoption under Article 19 of the WHO Constitution, or other provisions of the Constitution as may be deemed appropriate by the INB.

1 – whc.unesco.org (Their official documents are here: whc.unesco.org/en/documents)

2 – SEE: 1998 report whc.unesco.org/document/134042

3 – World Health Organisation

4 – Klaus Schwab (2020) "COVID-19: The Great Reset"

www.amazon.com.au/COVID-19-Great-Reset-Klaus-Schwab-ebook/dp/B08CRZ9VZB

*Article 19 of the WHO Constitution provides the World Health Assembly with the authority to adopt conventions or agreements on any matter within WHO's competence.”*⁵

WHO's Briefing Notes to member states show that it wants to be in control of disease outbreaks so it can control all international efforts. Included in the potential framework of the convention is the ability to:

*“mobilize collective international efforts necessary to prevent, rapidly detect and effectively respond to outbreaks of disease with pandemic potential” and “support global coordination through a stronger WHO, as 'the directing and coordinating authority on international health work', including for pandemic preparedness and response.”*⁶

According to media reports, this agreement will become a treaty that nations will be asked to sign up to. Of course, these treaties are recognised as international legal documents by those governments who support them and are treated as ‘the law’ by such. These treaties and conventions (e.g. Kyoto Protocol⁷, Paris Agreement⁸) become non-negotiable for subscribing nations, which are then used nationally to quickly enable controlling laws to be passed with little opposition.

At the moment, this new treaty is in the provisional stage, but it is being voted on for ratification at its upcoming conference on 22-28 May.

What Does the Treaty Mean?

Many world leaders and health officials regard the upcoming treaty as the best way to deal with big global health issues, like COVID, HIV and Ebola. But is that what we can expect? Not according to some who can see ‘the writing on the wall’.

“Christine Anderson — the EU member who recently bashed Trudeau — views this treaty as a globalist coup by the WHO.

“Many who favour the treaty believe that it offers the best way to increase political commitment from states to reform global health governance,” she said at the EU Parliament.

“However, the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrates that this proposition has no basis in fact. Government responses to COVID-19 have purportedly violated or manipulated many treaties, including human rights agreements.”

*“To what extent will the Commission ensure that the citizen, who has no direct vote in a body such as the WHO, is not bypassed in the decision-making process and that a shift of competence further and further away from the voter does not lead to an increasing ‘de-democratization’ of our society?”*⁹

Peter Breggin¹⁰ outlines such problems with the UN, the WHO and other ‘predatory’ individuals and organisations in his book ‘COVID-19 and the Global Predators: We Are the Prey’.¹¹ On his website, Breggin notes the following points:

“Under the new regulations, WHO will not be required to consult with the identified nation beforehand to “verify” the event before taking action...

The power to declare health emergencies is a potential tool to shame, intimidate, and dominate nations. It can be used to justify ostracism and economic or financial actions against the targeted nation by other nations aligned with WHO or who wish to harm and control the accused nation...

WHO's most significant use of this arbitrary authority to declare national emergencies will be used against the United States if our government ever again dares to take anti-globalist stands as it did under the Trump

5 – “World Health Assembly agrees to launch process to develop historic global accord on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response”
www.who.int/news/item/01-12-2021-world-health-assembly-agrees-to-launch-process-to-develop-historic-global-accord-on-pandemic-prevention-preparedness-and-response

6 – “A potential framework convention on pandemic preparedness and response: Briefing note for Member States” (PDF)
apps.who.int/gb/COVID-19/pdf_files/2021/18_03/Item3.pdf

7 – unfccc.int/kyoto_protocol

8 – unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/the-paris-agreement

9 – “The World Together” — WHO runs Canada?” thecountersignal.com/the-world-together-who-runs-canada

10 – Because of censorship and harassment, his articles are located here: breggin.com

11 – Peter Breggin (2021) ‘COVID-19 and the Global Predators: We Are the Prey’
www.amazon.com.au/COVID-19-Global-Predators-are-Prey-ebook/dp/B09GVWYWYK

administration...

These regulations are a "binding instrument of international law entered into force on 15 June 2007." ¹² U.N. members states can be required by law to obey or acquiesce to them. " ¹³

It's useful to listen to an interview of Peter Breggin talking about this very issue with the Real America's Voice (War Room Pandemic series) presenter, Steve Bannon. ¹⁴ There's also a video of the interview ¹⁵ at the bottom of an article by the Tea Party ¹⁶ on this topic. (NOTE: I haven't been able to find it on the internet in time to get this article published.)

Many around the world are raising the alarm on social media about the loss of national sovereignty. ¹⁷ Of course, there are and will be many more who will decry and disparage such warnings. ¹⁸ These decriers will call this article and also those who send out warnings about the treaty as pedlars of misinformation (*à la* Biden's Disinformation Governance Board ¹⁹). However, a check of the credentials and beliefs of such critics will show them to be aligned with the progressive Left, one world government ²⁰, Greens, etc.

What Nations are Expected to Sign the Treaty?

Canada's Leftist Prime Minister, Justin Trudeau, will almost certainly sign the treaty ceding his nation's health supervision to the WHO.

"In late 2021, the Canadian Press confirmed that Liberal Health Minister Jean-Yves Duclos has pledged support for "the development of a new global convention on pandemic preparedness and response that will be debated at a special meeting of the World Health Assembly."

Current Conservative Party leader candidate MP Leslyn Lewis pulls no punches in her assessment of the initiative:

The international agreement will permit the WHO to "determine what a pandemic is and when one is occurring even over something non-viral like an obesity crisis." According to Lewis, a lawyer with international experience, the treaty will be legally binding.

"It defines and classifies what is considered a pandemic, and this could consist of broad classifications, including an increase in cancers, heart conditions, strokes, etc. If a pandemic is declared, the WHO takes over the global health management of the pandemic."

PM Trudeau's endorsement should surprise no one. For one thing, it's a tactic to circumvent provincial health jurisdiction. One cannot find a more dedicated globalist practitioner on the world stage.

Governments choosing to endorse the globalization of healthcare take on a revelatory complexion. Apart from fringe players such as Senegal and Tunisia, fundamentalist Middle Eastern nations are nowhere to be found. Not Saudi Arabia, Iran, Iraq, Syria or Afghanistan—an interesting observation unto itself.

Rather, it is western democracies which dominate the WHO agenda— as it was for countries that signed the United Nation's International Refugee Declaration some 70 years ago. Today, we witness the repercussions: Canada being responsible for the refugee problems of Middle Eastern societies.

Ceding health sovereignty to the World Health Organization, the Global Pandemic Treaty ²¹ will take on a similar structure as the unhealthy of the world flock to Canadian shores. " ²²

12 – WHO "International Health Regulations (2005)" www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241580410

13 – Peter Breggin (7-5-2022) "Biden Handing Over U.S. Sovereignty to WHO"

breggin.com/article-detail/post_detail/Biden-Handing-Over-US-Sovereignty-to-WHO

14 – "WarRoom Battle Ground Ep 47: Biden Is Handing Over US Sovereignty To The WHO; Trump Vs Ricketts And The Club" (10-5-2022)

warroom.org/2022/05/10/warroom-battle-ground-ep-47-biden-is-handing-over-us-sovereignty-to-the-who-trump-vs-ricketts-and-the-club

15 – Tea Party (13-5-2022) "BREAKING: Biden Moves To Give WHO Health-Care Sovereignty Over America"

teaparty.org/breaking-biden-moves-to-give-who-health-care-sovereignty-over-america-474657

16 – teaparty.org

17 – e.g. YouTube: [#STOPtheTREATY](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ynMQd5PDtml)

18 – e.g. www.youtube.com/watch?v=ynMQd5PDtml

19 – en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Disinformation_Governance_Board

20 – VIZ World Economic Forum, Bilderbergs, George Soros, Rhodes scholars, Young Global Leaders (www.younggloballeaders.org), etc.

21 – "Multiple governments commit to signing WHO pandemic treaty"

thecountersignal.com/governments-commit-to-who-pandemic-treaty/

22 – "Trudeau Prepares To Destroy Health Care Sovereignty With Global Pandemic Treaty"

capforcanada.com/trudeau-prepares-to-destroy-health-care-sovereignty-with-global-pandemic-treaty/

Trudeau has shown his desire for WHO-like control with his implementation of travel bans on those people who didn't have COVID vaccinations.²³ What was his reason for doing this? Simple answer – the WHO's International Health Regulations²⁴. His government's document on this policy states:

“Canada’s border measures are informed by obligations under the International Health Regulations (IHR). The IHR is an instrument of international law that is legally binding on 196 countries to limit the spread of health risks while preventing unwarranted travel and trade restrictions. Recognizing the IHR, Canada cannot impose health-related travel restrictions except in situations of public health emergencies (such as COVID-19). When doing so, Canada must recognize the rights of travellers concerning treatment of personal data, informed consent and non-discrimination.

*Like most countries, Canada has implemented measures and placed restrictions on entry and re-entry at border crossings during the pandemic, including mandatory quarantine.”*²⁵

The US will most likely sign the treaty, since President Biden rejoined the US to the WHO in January 2021²⁶ after Trump pulled out of WHO²⁷ in May 2020 (as well as the UN Paris Climate Agreement in June 2017). This move by Biden indicates his alignment with the UN. But then, this:

“On January 18, 2022, with no public awareness, officials from the Biden Administration sent the World Health Organization these extensive amendments²⁸ to strengthen WHO’s ability to unilaterally intervene into the affairs of nations merely suspected of having a “health emergency” of possible concern to other nations.²⁹ The U.S. amendments cross out a critical existing restriction in the regulations: “WHO shall consult with and attempt to obtain verification from the State Party in whose territory the event is allegedly occurring...”³⁰ By eliminating that, and other clauses (see below), all the shackles will be removed from the Director-General of WHO, enabling him to declare health emergencies at will. The amendments would give WHO the right to take important steps to collaborate with other nations and other organizations worldwide to deal with any nation’s alleged health crisis, even against its stated wishes.”^{31 32}

The Biden administration's extensive amendments³³ were quietly sent to WHO without an official statement or a single press conference.

*“On May 22-28, 2022, the 75th World Health Assembly will convene at United Nations (UN) headquarters in Geneva, Switzerland, with delegates from 194 nations, to vote on the Biden administration’s proposed amendments to the International Health Regulations that will hand over national sovereignty and authority to the WHO, which during the COVID pandemic, carried the water bucket for the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) regarding the Wuhan lab.”*³⁴

Michele Bachmann (Dean, Robertson School of Government, Regent University) has voiced her objection to this move, declaring it a loss of US sovereignty.³⁵ More legal voices will sound the alarm in future days.

23 – “Canada’s travel ban on unvaccinated due to international regulation, not health”

thecountersignal.com/canada-travel-ban-on-unvaccinated-due-to-international-regulation/

24 – “International Health Regulations (2005) Third Edition” www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241580496

25 – Government of Canada (May 2021) “Priority strategies to optimize testing and quarantine at Canada’s borders”

publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2021/sc-hc/H14-360-2021-eng.pdf

26 – www.yahoo.com/lifestyle/biden-orders-us-rejoin-means-001606911.html

27 – edition.cnn.com/2020/05/19/us/trump-who-funding-threat-explainer-intl/index.html

28 – The document dated January 18, 2022, is found on pages 3-4, From the “Permanent Mission of the United States of America to the United Nations and Other International Organizations in Geneva.” Amendments are in the enclosure

29 – WHO (12-4-2022) “Strengthening WHO preparedness for and response to health emergencies”

breggin.digipaas.com/admin/fm/source/6905_breggin/WHO/U.S.-proposed-amendments-to-WHO-International-Health-Regulations-with-cover-materials_1.pdf

30 – SEE: p-6 of the amendments, Article 9 (1.)

31 – Peter Breggin (7-5-2022) “Biden Handing Over U.S. Sovereignty to WHO”

breggin.com/article-detail/post_detail/Biden-Handing-Over-US-Sovereignty-to-WHO

32 – “Biden Handing Over U.S. Sovereignty to WHO” stateofthenation.co/?p=115779

33 – apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA75/A75_18-en.pdf

34 – “Biden’s Amendments Hand U.S. Sovereignty to the WHO”

lc.org/newsroom/details/051222-bidens-amendments-hand-us-sovereignty-to-the-who-1

35 – www.youtube.com/watch?v=wmOOZH1wSIU

Australia Set to Sign the Treaty

In our nation, far away from the seat of the UN, the leaders of the main political parties have voiced their support for such a treaty with the WHO, for the purpose of controlling pandemics. Liberal Prime Minister, Scott Morrison, supports it.³⁶ The Labor leader, Anthony Albanese, supports it, but not so Craig Kelly, leader of the United Australia Party.³⁷

A web article on the topic by Pauline Hanson's One Nation party shows they don't support it³⁸, and neither does their senator:

*"Senator Roberts supports exiting the United Nations which has become a corrupt organisation and openly advocates a dangerous socialist agenda which does not benefit a free and democratic Australia."*³⁹

United Australia Party's founder, Clive Palmer, sent out an urgent message to his supporters warning of the Liberal and Labor move prior to the election.⁴⁰ They also put up a YouTube add, [#STOPtheTREATY](#).

Others, like Pat Mesiti of Millionaire Mindset Club are speaking against signing the treaty, calling it a loss of sovereignty.⁴¹

The Spectator Australia has researched the treaty, as well as the WHO's & UN's record on control, and has issued warnings in a number of recent articles:

- "Australia set to sign Geneva's Global Pandemic Treaty"⁴²
- "Pandemic Treaty: sign here?"⁴³

The Problem of Bureaucrats Being in Charge

The WHO have bureaucrats in charge of the organisation, but even if they are educated and have some related qualifications, they will not have the natural virtue and ability to handle every health issue that comes up. They will be advised by working groups, and their measures will be voted on somewhere along the line, to help with the management needed. However, like governments and their public servants, the checks and balances are never any good at keeping the public interest the number one focus.

Also, bureaucrats have their own agenda, based either on their worldview and ideology or on whoever has manipulative financial influence over them. Even if they are advised by scientific 'experts', they will make up their own minds. They will determine what is or isn't a pandemic, and what requires their intervention, and as a consequence, nations will be powerless to stop them.

"According to the Foreword to WHO's regulations, there is no specific limit to what constitutes a health emergency, and it is certainly not limited to pandemics. WHO's domain includes:⁴⁴ a scope not limited to any specific disease or manner of transmission, but covering "illness or medical condition, irrespective of origin or source, that presents or could present significant harm to humans..."⁴⁵

Ex-WHO medico and an expert in global health and infectious disease, David Bell,⁴⁶ warned us of the

36 – "Australian Prime Minister Supports Extended WHO Powers"

www.theepochtimes.com/australian-prime-minister-scott-morrison-supports-extended-who-powers_4478676.html

37 – youtu.be/GITETnm4vn8

38 – "Scott Morrison Confirms Support for Draconian WHO Pandemic Treaty"

www.onenation.org.au/scott-morrison-confirms-for-draconian-who-pact

39 – "Ausexit" www.malcolmrobertsqld.com.au/portfolio-item/ausexit

40 – unshackledminds.com/urgent-message-from-clive-palmer

41 – Pat Mesiti "AUSTRALIA Has Fallen" youtu.be/Y9UWKdSx--w

42 – spectator.com.au/2022/05/australia-set-to-sign-genevas-global-pandemic-treaty

43 – www.spectator.com.au/2022/05/pandemic-treaty-sign-here

44 – The Foreword: WHO "International Health Regulations (2005)" www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241580410

45 – Peter Breggin (4-5-2022) "Biden Handing Over U.S. Sovereignty to WHO"

breggin.com/article-detail/post_detail/Biden-Handing-Over-US-Sovereignty-to-WHO

46 – Dr. Bell is a public health physician. He has previously worked at the World Health Organization, as Programme Head for malaria and febrile diseases at the Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND) in Geneva, and as Director of Global Health Technologies at the Intellectual Ventures Global Good Fund. He is now on the board of Pandemics, Data, and Analytics (PANDA), a group studying the

mindset of WHO's bureaucracy in an interview with Epoch Times this week:⁴⁷

"Even though it doesn't directly change sovereignty, in effect, it does. It takes away the ability of the people of that country to make their own decisions," says Dr. Bell.

And more importantly, these proposals will create a bureaucracy "whose existence is dependent on pandemics," says Dr. Bell. "They'll have a very vested interest in finding outbreaks, declaring them potential pandemics, and then responding. It's the way that they will survive."

*And it appears that they will make lockdowns "a permanent feature of pandemic responses", Dr. Bell says."*⁴⁸

Then, as with all organisations governing public issues, there are the consulted 'experts'. These are a BIG problem because they have their own agendas. As a trained research scientist myself,⁴⁹ I'm well aware of the personal agendas that scientists can have hidden from view. Rather than hearing it from me, here's what genetics professor, Samira Kiani, says about it:

*"The culture of science was pushing JK forward. Like me and many of my colleagues, he wanted to change the world for the better, and he understood that this did not happen by colouring within the lines. It requires pushing boundaries – the question is which ones you push...The culture of science is like a vacuum – perhaps because we know the general public often does not understand what we do, we don't feel like we're obligated to explain ourselves, much less to elicit input from beyond our silo walls. Integrating input from diverse perspectives is, for many scientists, a foreign concept, something that would divert from one's goals and slow the process of discovery. There's truth in that, but I think it would redirect scientific goals to be more in alignment with human needs. Moving slower and not breaking things has its upsides."*⁵⁰

See the point? They're mostly immune to the uses their research is put to and what their advice is used to achieve. That's because 'science is god' to them – that's scientism⁵¹, to the uninitiated.

There's also the issue of whoever may be paying the scientists or sponsoring their research, who want kick-backs. This is the 'Big Pharma' issue, but it goes beyond business to governments and NGOs as well.

We've already seen underhand payments to authorities who've made medical decisions in the US – at least \$350 million paid between 2010 and 2020 to some.⁵² These are only recent incidents which have been uncovered. Then there's China molding the UN into one of its puppets to do its bidding.⁵³ And, there's the WEF partnership with the UN, which speaks of being guided down the path of world control by the global elite.⁵⁴

Expert advisers are one thing, but history has shown that they 'dance to the beat of their own drum' or to 'the music of their puppet masters'. That doesn't bode well for the outcomes of the new WHO treaty.

What's Next for the WHO?

Could monkeypox be classified as a new pandemic, bringing about WHO control of all international health and affairs, including travel, isolation, passports, and trade?

Could be. Australia now has it's first case of this disease.⁵⁵ The US government has just issued it's first

world's response to COVID. (Epoch Times statement)

47 – TEASER: youtu.be/e8G7jgEQR3Q

48 – TEXT & VIDEO: www.theepochtimes.com/ex-who-official-david-bell-will-the-pandemic-treaty-make-lockdowns-a-permanent-feature-of-pandemic-response_4481770.html

49 – SEE: "What is Empirical Science?" canberraforerunners.org/wp-content/uploads/What-is-Empirical-Science.pdf

50 – Samira Kiani (6-5-2022) "Human genetic engineering is coming. We must discuss the social and political implications now" www.theglobeandmail.com/opinion/article-human-genetic-engineering-is-coming-we-must-discuss-the-social-and

51 – en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scientism

52 – www.theepochtimes.com/key-nih-research-executive-received-70-secret-royalty-payments-colleague-got-7_4470235.html

53 – "How China Is Remaking the UN In Its Own Image" thediplomat.com/2020/04/how-china-is-remaking-the-un-in-its-own-image

54 – "World Economic Forum and UN Sign Strategic Partnership Framework"

www.weforum.org/press/2019/06/world-economic-forum-and-un-sign-strategic-partnership-framework


55 – "First Case of Monkeypox in Australia Confirmed"

mandatory quarantines⁵⁶ for monkeypox and ordered 13 million doses of the vaccine for it.⁵⁷ Post-COVID, the hysteria of pandemic preparedness is already in the wind, with the WHO circling to close in. The WHO has already called an emergency meeting on monkeypox.⁵⁸

Did you know that monkeypox has been scenario tested for the next pandemic?⁵⁹ That pandemic preparedness was conducted by the Biological⁶⁰ arm of the Nuclear Threat Initiative⁶¹ (NTI) as part of the push for Global Health Security⁶² through monitoring of the Global Health Security (GHS) Index⁶³ and for the formation of a Global Health Security Challenge Fund⁶⁴. NTI’s report, ‘Strengthening Global Systems to Prevent and Respond to High-Consequence Biological Threats’⁶⁵ outlines their scenario:

*“The exercise scenario portrayed a deadly, global pandemic involving an unusual strain of monkeypox virus that emerged in the fictional nation of Brinia and spread globally over 18 months. Ultimately, the exercise scenario revealed that the initial outbreak was caused by a terrorist attack using a pathogen engineered in a laboratory with inadequate biosafety and biosecurity provisions and weak oversight. By the end of the exercise, the fictional pandemic resulted in more than three billion cases and 270 million fatalities worldwide.”*⁶⁶

The tabletop exercise⁶⁷ which was run in March 2021, used a plan for a monkeypox outbreak in May 2022.⁶⁸



That sounds familiar, doesn't it. **When did we start receiving notices of monkeypox infections? MAY 2022.** That's very suspicious.

www.theepochtimes.com/first-case-of-monkeypox-in-australia-confirmed_4478847.html
 56 – link.theepochtimes.com/mkt_app/first-mandatory-quarantines-for-monkeypox-as-white-house-warns-of-more-cases_4482806.html
 57 – “Biden Admin Places \$119 Million Order for Vaccines After Single Case of Monkeypox Reported in US”
www.theepochtimes.com/biden-admin-places-119-million-order-for-vaccines-after-single-case-of-monkeypox-reported-in-us_4478604.html
 58 – “Jeffrey Tucker: WHO calls emergency meeting as monkey pox cases cross 100” (21-5-2022)
warroom.org/2022/05/21/jeffrey-tucker-who-calls-emergency-meeting-as-monkey-pox-cases-cross-100
 59 – theinfowar.tv/watch?id=62881e725ee58b13dbc7daf7
 60 – www.nti.org/area/biological
 61 – www.nti.org/about
 62 – “Investing in Global Health Security: How to Build a Fund for Pandemic Preparedness in 2022”
www.nti.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/Pandemic_Financing_Final.pdf
 63 – www.ghsindex.org
 64 – “Concept Note: Global Health Security Challenge Fund” media.nti.org/documents/GHS_Challenge_Fund_Concept_Note_FINAL.PDF
 65 – www.nti.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/NTI_Paper_BIO-TTX_Final.pdf
 66 – www.nti.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/NTI_Paper_BIO-TTX_Final.pdf p:6
 67 – An informal, discussion-based session in which a team discusses their roles and responses during an emergency, walking through one or more example scenarios... Tabletop exercises are used to prepare for all sorts of crises, but cybersecurity and disaster recovery are common areas of focus. www.csoonline.com/article/3622252/tabletop-exercises-explained-definition-examples-and-objectives.html
 68 – www.nti.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/NTI_Paper_BIO-TTX_Final.pdf p:10

That could ‘slip past our radar’ as pure co-incidence if it wasn’t the second time that it’s happened. ‘Event 201’⁶⁹ was a tabletop exercise conducted as a global pandemic exercise by the Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security, in collaboration with the World Economic Forum⁷⁰ and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation⁷¹ on October 18, 2019.⁷² Their statement read:

*“Event 201 simulates an outbreak of a novel zoonotic coronavirus transmitted from bats to pigs to people that eventually becomes efficiently transmissible from person to person, leading to a severe pandemic. The pathogen and the disease it causes are modeled largely on SARS, but it is more transmissible in the community setting by people with mild symptoms.”*⁷³

Notice the following:

1. The exercise was conducted in conjunction with the World Economic Forum and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.
2. It was conducted in October 2019.
3. It was for a SARS virus.
4. Both COVID-19 and SARS are caused by coronaviruses. The virus that causes SARS is known as SARS-CoV, while the virus that causes COVID-19 is known as SARS-CoV-2.⁷⁴
5. The first cases of COVID-19 (nCoV-2019) were reported in China in October-November 2019,⁷⁵ but were not publicised by the CCP.
6. COVID-19 followed the ‘Event 201’ scenario.⁷⁶

If we take ‘Event 201’ and the NTI monkeypox exercise together, they make a compelling argument that someone’s controlling what’s going on. Two planned disease outbreak scenarios can’t randomly occur within a short time of their reports.

The Center for Health Security covered themselves by releasing a statement that they weren’t predicting the COVID pandemic with their exercise:

*“Recently, the Center for Health Security has received questions about whether that pandemic exercise predicted the current novel coronavirus outbreak in China. To be clear, the Center for Health Security and partners did not make a prediction during our tabletop exercise. For the scenario, we modeled a fictional coronavirus pandemic, but we explicitly stated that it was not a prediction. Instead, the exercise served to highlight preparedness and response challenges that would likely arise in a very severe pandemic. We are not now predicting that the nCoV-2019 outbreak will kill 65 million people. Although our tabletop exercise included a mock novel coronavirus, the inputs we used for modeling the potential impact of that fictional virus are not similar to nCoV-2019.”*⁷⁷

My Proposition #1

Think that health is all about being sick? Not so. What else happened along with sickness in the COVID pandemic in Australia? State border closures, international border closures, health travel passports, masks, lock-downs, curfews, police brutality (rifle fire on protesters in Victoria even), authoritarian government rule, indecisiveness, bad advice, ‘expert’ fools, ‘plausible deniability’ escapes, and military presence? Think that’s the limit if the WHO controls world health?

69 – www.centerforhealthsecurity.org/event201/scenario.html

70 – ANNOUNCEMENT: “Live Simulation Exercise to Prepare Public and Private Leaders for Pandemic Response”
www.weforum.org/press/2019/10/live-simulation-exercise-to-prepare-public-and-private-leaders-for-pandemic-response/

71 – (No announcement)

72 – Media announcement: www.centerforhealthsecurity.org/news/center-news/2019/2019-08-21-event201.html

73 – “The Event 201 scenario” <https://www.centerforhealthsecurity.org/event201/scenario.html>

74 – “COVID-19 vs. SARS: How Do They Differ?” <https://www.healthline.com/health/coronavirus-vs-sars>

75 – “When Exactly Did The First Covid-19 Case Emerge In China?”

www.forbes.com/sites/anuradhavaranesi/2021/06/24/when-exactly-did-the-first-covid-19-case-emerge-in-china

76 – “The Event 201 scenario” <https://www.centerforhealthsecurity.org/event201/scenario.html>

77 – “Statement about nCoV and our pandemic exercise”

www.centerforhealthsecurity.org/news/center-news/2020/2020-01-24-Statement-of-Clarification-Event201.html

I propose that genetics will most likely be approved by WHO and become a forced mandate to curb future pandemics. Remember Victorian Premier Dan Andrews giving himself extensive health emergency powers to determine lock-downs and control state health laws which we thought could never become law in our nation. This is the type of thing the WHO will be able to do once Item 16.2 is passed. The WHO will determine what is a pandemic and what is not. They will be able to set worldwide measures for disease control and set up international laws for their enforcement and punishment.

Their global oversight could then be extended to genetic controls. Sound too extreme? Let's see what's already in the pipeline with genetics and health control.

Geneticist He Jiankui (known as JK in the US), is a scientist in China who is well-respected in the world's gene editing community, who has pushed the boundaries of science by conducting gene-editing on human embryos. He uses CRISPR technology to cut and splice DNA, a modern approach to genetic engineering over the past decade. CRISPR is a precise and efficient tool that allows genetic engineers to 'edit' genes, putting them on the verge of altering the course of human history in an unprecedented way.

JK's experiment has produced 2 babies which he has named Lulu and Nana. His research project may seem to have nothing to do with health and the WHO, but the fact is, his aim was to make Lulu and Nana genetically immune to HIV. There it is. If we have a pandemic which is killing off humanity because there is no known cure for it or one for which treatment has become useless, the WHO could mandate that all new children be genetically engineered so they're resistant. That's the possible scenario which the WHO authorities would use to justify human genetic engineering and announce that this type of research must be strengthened with funding, despite any societal objections.

Once that step is taken, the WHO could then categorize any genetic disease as damaging to the human genome. They could then force all those who have a genetic disease, or who are carriers of one, to have their babies genetically engineered against what they have or carry.

But, you say, won't scientists stand against this as a moral issue? Samira Kiani, professor of genetic engineering at the University of Pittsburgh, made this statement:

*"I am by no means against gene editing – it is my passion and livelihood. It has potential to cure our most intractable diseases and possibly address some of our most pressing environmental issues. Part of the promise of CRISPR is safety: Because it is so precise, the risk of unintended negative outcomes is much smaller and easier to mitigate than the previous generation of genetic engineering tools. We have conclusive evidence regarding safety for many applications of CRISPR technology."*⁷⁸

But will the scientific community morally reject the direction of this human research. Not according to professor Kiani:

*"I often wonder how our discoveries, and the world that they foster, would look if EQ⁷⁹ was valued as much as IQ. I'm not saying individual scientists lack emotional intelligence in their personal lives, but on a collective level we do. It's evident in how we practise our work and in our relationship with society at large. Pushing one's way to the front of the line is not a sign of high EQ. But that's the culture of science. Ignoring what other people feel because it does not align with our needs or desires does not make for good relationships. But it makes for a successful scientist."*⁸⁰

Geneticists are already working on cell genes which are outside of the nucleus where the DNA is located (called 'somatic gene editing'). Editing the DNA ('germline editing') is a very simple step to take from there, as Kiani states:

"My own research involves somatic gene editing, a therapeutic application of CRISPR administered by injection to consenting subjects. JK, and a small number of other scientists, have experimented with

78 – Samira Kiani (6-5-2022) "Human genetic engineering is coming. We must discuss the social and political implications now" www.theglobeandmail.com/opinion/article-human-genetic-engineering-is-coming-we-must-discuss-the-social-and

79 – EQ: Emotional Quotient as opposed to Intelligence Quotient (IQ) en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Emotional_intelligence#History

80 – Samira Kiani (6-5-2022) "Human genetic engineering is coming. We must discuss the social and political implications now" www.theglobeandmail.com/opinion/article-human-genetic-engineering-is-coming-we-must-discuss-the-social-and

germline editing, in which changes are made to the DNA of an embryo. There's a huge difference: With somatic gene editing, the altered genetic traits cannot be passed to future generations, but with germline they can, permanently releasing those new traits into the gene pool. This makes germline far riskier.

We simply do not know the biological implications of human germline editing, and can't fully know them without waiting 80 years to see how an embryo's altered genome plays out over the course of a lifetime. The culture of science is not equipped with that sort of patience.”⁸¹

Geneticists are already working on secret projects for governments and institutions which do not pass the morality test.

“Within the small, insular world of CRISPR researchers, it is assumed that work similar to JK's is under way, most likely in privately funded labs, or in countries where the idea of engineering humans for morally questionable reasons – think designer babies and superhuman soldiers – could be viewed not as taboo, but as politically and economically desirable.”⁸²

Will tide of public opinion turn against these types of health measures, or will we be lulled into them by a constant barrage of ‘sweeteners’ until we happily accept it? History says, “No”, we will passively accept it.

My Proposition #2

Could trans-humanism⁸³ be another area where the WHO dictates that citizens undergo ‘treatment’ because their global health governance mandate has been upgraded by member states, so that it becomes something they can demand? I propose that it almost certainly will.

What could that look like? See where the global elite, with Bill Gates, the UN and Klaus Schwab are taking us:

Watch this VIDEO

(The BBC was asked by Klaus Schwab to promote the “JOY” of being trans-human. THIS is what they came up with.)

gab.com/BeachMilk/posts/106221208427852440

or here

www.facebook.com/WeThePeoplePRESSCanada/videos/137457858416984/?extid=CL-UNK-UNK-UNK-IOS_GK0T-GK1C

What Happens When the Pied Piper is Followed?

Remember, the WHO sanctioned many of the pandemic measures that are now seen as having been disastrous.⁸⁴ They can't be trusted to do what's right, or proper, 100% of the time. What the WHO does under this treaty will eventually be seen as crimes against humanity. However, they will continue on their own path while they have the power to squash all opposition.

Here are some example of what happens when we follow governments and their bureaucrats, even when ‘experts’ advise them:

- Australian state governments terminated the employment of unvaccinated workers⁸⁵
- Sri Lanka followed the Green New Deal and is a ‘basket case’ in debt, and unable to feed it's citizens⁸⁶
- Despite being told by health experts that mRNA vaccines are totally safe, we now find out that the RNA is converted to DNA⁸⁷

81 – Samira Kiani (6-5-2022) “Human genetic engineering is coming. We must discuss the social and political implications now” www.theglobeandmail.com/opinion/article-human-genetic-engineering-is-coming-we-must-discuss-the-social-and

82 – Samira Kiani (6-5-2022) “Human genetic engineering is coming. We must discuss the social and political implications now” www.theglobeandmail.com/opinion/article-human-genetic-engineering-is-coming-we-must-discuss-the-social-and

83 – Transhumanism: Enhancing the human body with implanted technologies (e.g. cochlear implant) to greatly improve functionality, mental ability and longevity. en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transhumanism

84 – “Crime against humanity” www.wnd.com/2022/05/17000-doctors-call-end-covid-19-emergency

85 – www.theepochtimes.com/schools-in-australia-threatened-with-temporary-closures-after-government-terminates-unvaccinated-teachers_4471248.html

86 – “Sri Lanka's crisis is a ‘green cautionary tale’: Andrew Bolt” youtu.be/BOjxrmyp5qI

87 – “‘It's a Type of Genotoxicity’: Virologist Explains mRNA Vaccine Conversion to DNA”

For us will Australia's Digital Economy Strategy⁸⁸ take us more under the control of the world elite? Here's an excerpt from one of its documents, 'Digital Economy Strategy 2030'⁸⁹:

"A digital economy is characterised by online transactions and engagement – a virtual, paperless and cashless world [...] This means that by 2030:

- *All businesses are digital businesses, using e-Commerce tools and new technologies to improve productivity, innovate and generate high-paying jobs.*
- *All transactions are electronic, integrated and secure – from registration through to employment, reporting, marketing, banking, accounting and security.*
- *Government services will all be easily and safely accessible online, saving people and businesses time and money. Government service delivery will be supported by better public data availability and sharing that is used by a highly-skilled public service to deliver more targeted policy and programs...*

*The Digital Economy Strategy also states: 'To be a leading digital economy and society in 2030, every business needs to become a digital business.'*⁹⁰

Why not read about the problem with Digital Identity Bill in relation to what it is capable of doing to Australia and it's citizens?⁹¹ [LINK](#)

What Can We Do?

We already know we can't trust governments, politicians and public servants (bureaucrats). From my background and experience, we can't trust technocrats or the scientific elite, either. There's no one we can really trust, except those who have a 'track record' of producing good outcomes for citizens. Even then, we need to monitor them closely.

So, why would we trust people half a world away to work for Australian citizens' best interests? Oppose it as a democratic issue, both at elections and in the public arena.

Peter Breggin issued a challenge for his nation, the US, in that regard:

"If only one would break free from the group and charge into the snarling pack, perhaps a spirited yearling with horns not fully grown, or an aging bull fed up with watching his own kind taken down so unmercifully, or a mother watching her beloved offspring's premature horrendous death. Just one charging into their midst — the wolves would never anticipate such a thing — peaceful American bison defending each other — and they would scatter in fear and dismay.

Be the one — no longer willing to huddle together in the helpless band — the one who dares to join the fight. Another will follow you and then another, and in a flash of time, that bleeding hulk of our nation — once the great light of liberty to the world, now barely flickering — will rise again to throw off the wolves like it has done before, sending them scurrying for safety.

Yes, if enough of us join the fight, the predators — never imagining we would come together at the final moment to fight them with determination — will scatter like yelping pups under our hooves.

Be the one — that fighter who steps out of the crowd of spectators passively witnessing the demise of America. Or be the second, the third, or the fourth one to find your courage. Soon we shall become genuine Americans again, standing together as one for freedom against tyranny.

We have done this before — we can do this again."⁹²

Craig Kelly of the United Australia Party has offered us a similar challenge – oppose it.⁹³

www.theepochtimes.com/its-a-type-of-genotoxicity-virologist-explains-mrna-vaccine-conversion-to-dna_4472532.html

88 – "Digital Economy Strategy" digitaleconomy.pmc.gov.au

89 – "Digital Economy Strategy 2030" digitaleconomy.pmc.gov.au/sites/default/files/2021-07/digital-economy-strategy.pdf

90 – www.malcolmrobertsqld.com.au/what-is-the-digital-identity-bill

91 – "What is the Digital Identity Bill?" www.malcolmrobertsqld.com.au/what-is-the-digital-identity-bill

92 – Peter & Ginger Breggin (4-5-2022) "Be The One" breggin.com/article-detail/post_detail/Be-the-One


93 – "URGENT: Morrison & Albanese selling out Australia NOW!!!" www.youtube.com/watch?v=GITETnm4vn8

That's Not All

This WHO pandemic treaty is just the latest attack on our sovereignty to be exposed – there's so much more that's hidden from us. For example, there's (and many more):

- The global sustainability push through the UN's Agenda 2030. This hails back to the UN's Agenda 21⁹⁴, then back to its Global 2000⁹⁵ project which was about population control, to reduce it to 3 billion.⁹⁶ The modern Sustainable Development⁹⁷ initiative 'Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development'⁹⁸ from the UN's Department of Economic and Social Affairs is all about **population control**, under the guise of preserving the planet for the future.
(Read this book, 'Behind The Green Mask: UN Agenda 21'⁹⁹. Watch this video by that author – [LINK](#))
- The UN Convention on Biological Diversity and its 'New Global Framework for Managing Nature Through 2030'¹⁰⁰. The framework includes 21 targets for 2030 that call for, among other things: "**At least 30% of land and sea areas global...conserved** through effective, equitably managed, ecologically representative and well-connected systems of protected areas (and other effective area-based conservation measures)."¹⁰¹
- The UNHCR's¹⁰² 1951 Refugee Convention and 1967 Protocols.¹⁰³ This is problematic for Australia, as outlined by the Social Policy Group's report to the Federal Parliament in 2000.¹⁰⁴ Because we are signatories to the convention, **the UN can demand we do what they say** about our treatment of refugees.

The UN is the black panther in the night that we just can't see stalking us.



This bureaucratic control over nations by the UN has been copied by our state premiers and used to control their people and to maintain their power. They usually use the UN's 'laws' to give authorization to what they do (e.g. COVID lockdowns, forced vaccination, etc.). Recently, Victoria's Premier, Dan Andrews, put into law his 'Agriculture Legislation Amendment Bill 2022'¹⁰⁵. "The purpose of the Bill is to insert into the Public Health and Wellbeing Act 2008 provisions which would confer additional, extraordinary, and permanent powers to the state government to enable it to respond to pandemics."¹⁰⁶ Under the law's powers, he can control food production in the state.¹⁰⁷ The dictatorial powers would allow the Premier and his officials to:

1. Impose indefinite lockdowns, even if there were no cases of a disease in the state (new sections 165AE & 165AI);
2. Arrest people because of their religion, political beliefs, or ethnic background (new section 165AK(4)); &
3. Imprison people without trial (new section 165BA(1)(b)).¹⁰⁸

94 – sdgs.un.org/sites/default/files/publications/Agenda21.pdf

95 – "Global 2000 Revisited" www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/esp_sociopol_depopu14a.htm

96 – "How Global 2000 Came Into Being" www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/master_file/global2000.htm

97 – sdgs.un.org

98 – www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/70/1&Lang=E OR

web.archive.org/web/20220218175718/https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/21252030%20Agenda%20for%20Sustainable%20Development%20web.pdf

99 – www.amazon.com.au/BEHIND-GREEN-MASK-Agenda-21-ebook/dp/B006OCWHCW

100 – www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/blog/2021/07/a-new-global-framework-for-managing-nature-through-2030-1st-detailed-draft-agreement-debuts

101 – www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/blog/2021/07/a-new-global-framework-for-managing-nature-through-2030-1st-detailed-draft-agreement-debuts

102 – www.unhcr.org/en-au

103 – "Convention and Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees" www.unhcr.org/en-au/3b66c2aa10

104 – "The Problem with the 1951 Refugee Convention"

www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Parliamentary_Departments/Parliamentary_Library/pubs/rp/rp0001/01RP05

105 – www.legislation.vic.gov.au/bills/Agriculture-Legislation-Amendment-Bill-2022

106 – "Daniel Andrews' Pandemic Bill: The Attack On our Democracy"

ipa.org.au/publications-ipa/daniel-andrews-pandemic-bill-the-attack-on-our-democracy

107 – "Daniel Andrews's Is Passing a Bill Now Which Means You Won't Be Allowed To Grow Your Own Food"

www.australianationalreview.com/state-of-affairs/daniel-andrews-is-passing-a-bill-now-which-means-you-wont-be-allowed-to-grow-your-own-food

108 – "Daniel Andrews' Pandemic Bill: The Attack On our Democracy"

It's Time for the Sheep to Wake Up

By and large, Australians have been asleep when it comes to hidden agendas and the real forces operating in the world system. They've focused on their own needs and desires, as well as issues that their emotions have directed them to support (e.g. Climate Change). They are "sheep", because they are 'dumb'. They are effectively *non compos mentis* when it comes to reality – both spiritual and political (i.e. what persuades us).

This election has seen numerous independents and minor parties call our citizens to wake up to what's really going on in the world. Australia One¹⁰⁹ party's leader, Riccardo Bosi¹¹⁰, is one of these. He's on record as stating:

"Professional politicians in the major parties have ruined our country, serving not the citizens of the nation, but representing foreign powers and ideologies, as well as other special interest groups. We must make the choice not between 'left' and 'right', but between right and wrong. " &

"As with anyone, always check their character. Have they proven themselves to be of good character in their homes first and foremost, then have they had good character in the community. If they have demonstrated such character, then and only then, should you consider them for public office." ¹¹¹

Watch Riccardo Bosi talking about the need for us to wake up so we keep our sovereignty: [LINK](#)

Check out my R-A-T-E webpage for more information on what's really going on around the world.



The UN is the 'legitimate' face of global governance that people and nations are being wooed to accept. I could research more on that and the plans of the UN to achieve it, but you can do your own using the internet.

Think this is all conspiracy theory? It's not. To see what sort of events government officials and the elite hide or cover up, watch this documentary 'Spooks, Hoods and the Hidden Elite' [LINK](#). It's the confession of an ex-CIA agent about how he was part of the background team that set up the assassination of JFK and how he helped pin the blame on Lee Harvey Oswald.



Epilogue

Australia's Federal Election results are out this morning (22nd May) with the Labor party ousting the Liberal coalition and taking power. The Labor party is aligned with socialism, communism, the progressive Left, and the Greens. Therefore, we can expect Australia to be signed up to all UN treaties and conventions, resulting in our sovereignty being taken away from us in every area that the UN has its 'fingers' on.

This new government is the one we deserve to have, not only because the citizens voted for it, even in ignorance, but because of their hearts.¹¹² We will now reap the consequences of it, both individually and as a nation.

ipa.org.au/publications-ipa/daniel-andrews-pandemic-bill-the-attack-on-our-democracy

109 – australiaoneparty.com

110 – Former Australian Special Forces Commander, author of "Greatness Awaits You: The Five Pillars of Real Leadership" (2019) and supporter of the Freedom rallies in Australia

111 – thewashingtonstandard.com/former-australian-special-forces-commander-riccardo-bosi-this-stops-when-we-stop-it-video

112 – READ: "Our Vote, Their Values" link.theepochtimes.com/mkt_app/our-vote-their-values_4483428.html

From the Kingdom perspective, though, we're in a time of exposé, both internationally and individually. Our King prepared us for this¹¹³ and it's already begun.¹¹⁴ We know that the exposing of what's hidden has been going on, because we've seen it in the religious system (Roman Catholic abuses), and in the unravelling of the causes for President Trump's 2020 election defeat.¹¹⁵ But, that's not the limit of it – it's going to expand even more over time and we'll be able to see a lot more of what's hidden.

With the election result, it will produce some of the judgement we were told that our nation would need to undergo. These judgements will be restorative, not punitive. It's Father's loving way of exposing our hearts and showing us our need to commit ourselves to him, rather than our self interests and ideologies.

In this matter, we received the following warning from our King, back in 2014:

*“There is a time coming that will scare most people. There is a time coming when fright will be common. There is a time coming that I have to prepare you for. It's a time that you don't want to be in, but it's a time I have you there for my purposes. This nation will undergo the screws of my judgement. This nation has to grow and mature. It is only through difficulty that my nation Australia can enter its destiny. And so I bring this judgement, this sword against your nation. I do it with a light heart, I don't do it out of anger or hate. I do it in love, because I know that it will yield the peaceable fruits of righteousness that I require of this nation so it can rise up. There is much this nation has to learn. They are young, they are diverse. They need to come together and focus on what they have to be to enter their destiny as a nation.”*¹¹⁶

Father told us that the times ahead are dark,¹¹⁷ so he warned us to learn to be frugal¹¹⁸. (Have we been doing that?) He also told us it would also be a time of glory for Kingdom sons.¹¹⁹ Also, that there would be occasions when Heaven will intervene and we will see shifts and great things happening. Our focus therefore needs to be on him, and not the world, but we need to be like the Sons of Issachar who had uncommon wisdom that society needed. So, be prepared and get prepared.

IMPORTANT: This is a Forerunner article designed to keep the *ekklesia* aware of what's going on around them so they aren't ignorant. We are to become a voice of reason and sobriety in our community. A voice of hope, with answers, that the public will begin to look to for solutions to their questions and for advice. This is not to detract us from the Kingdom and our Kingdom assignments – we have other tasks related to the world system. It's also to highlight the need to be involved in our society in godly ways, as directed by Holy Spirit. If we don't do that, we leave a vacuum that others will fill for their own agendas, worldviews as they serve their puppet masters.

Laurence

16-5-2022

(www.CanberraForerunners.org)

COPYRIGHT

This document is free to copy, republish and distribute, but only 'as is'. It is free to quote from at length.

All Canberra Forerunners' documents are licensed under

[Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/)

Quotes are the copyright of their authors.

Free graphics are from www.ciker.com

113 – “Shake, Rattle and Roll” (6-1-2021) canberraforerunners.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Shake-Rattle-and-Roll-6-1-2021.pdf

114 – e.g. MESSAGE: Donna Rigney (17-5-2022) “It's all About To Change” www.youtube.com/watch?v=gdrfFAWsVSI

115 – www.newsweek.com/donald-trump-finally-admits-defeat-i-didnt-win-election-1695339

116 – MESSAGE: “Great Plans for Australia” (2-5-2014)

canberraforerunners.org/wp-content/uploads/MESSAGE-Great-Plans-for-Australia-2-5-2014.pdf

117 – MESSAGE: “Why Bleak Messages” (8-11-2019)

canberraforerunners.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/MESSAGE-Why-Bleak-Messages-8-11-2019.pdf

118 – MESSAGE: “Time for Frugal Living” (24-9-2019)

canberraforerunners.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/MESSAGE-Time-for-Frugal-Living-24-9-2019.pdf

119 – MESSAGE: “The Road Ahead” (17-8-2021)

canberraforerunners.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/MESSAGE-The-Road-Ahead-17-8-2021.pdf