Science Now Subscribes to Agendas, NOT the Empirical Method of Research

We've seen exposed in recent years how the science chosen to be followed by governments, as well as those in power, comes from a plan to see certain agendas achieved. The public is told that "the science is in" or that the government is "following the science", but the science they are using is not related to empirical science, the basis of the scientific method.

In recent times, this pseudo-science has been exposed with:

- COVID vaccines,
- Pandemic mandates, &
- Anthropogenic Climate Change

The biggest case of this has been Pfizer hiding and falsifying data in an effort to fulfil their agenda of getting their COVID vaccine on the government's list of preferred vaccines.¹ That, of course, means 'money in the bank'.

John Abramson of Harvard Medical School clearly points out such corruption of health care:

"The pharmaceutical companies and top scientists rake in enormous sums of money by attacking germs and the media boosts its audience ratings and circulations with sensationalized reporting (the coverage of the New York Times and Der Spiegel are specifically analyzed). Individuals pay the highest price of all, without getting what they deserve and need most to maintain health: enlightenment about the real causes and true necessities for prevention and cure of their illnesses. "The first step is to give up the illusion that the primary purpose of modern medical research is to improve people's health most effectively and efficiently...The primary purpose of commercially-funded clinical research is to maximize financial return on investment, not health." ²

On the horizon, although exposed in the past, is the pseudo-science (false science) involved with:

- GM food avoidance,
- Vegetarian diets,
- Paleo diets, &
- Water conservation, to name just a few.

All these have been (and are) propagated by the powers that be, especially the UN. Of course, the UN's agenda to reduce the population and govern humanity globally, is the agenda behind their 'science'.

Take this as a current example: We can see pseudo-science in the proposed implementation of wind farms in the seas off Australia's coasts. There's ample evidence (AKA scientific data) that the land versions of these devices have resulted in the deaths of large birds.³ There's also evidence that they will result in the destruction of whales⁴ and lobsters⁵, to name a few types of susceptible marine life. However, politicians are following the pseudo-science of Anthropogenic Climate Change in their illogical efforts to stem what they've been told is a 'climate emergency' in the form of a 'climate catastrophe' which is 'in the making'.

Genuine empirical science includes the principle of 'falsifiability'. A scientific conclusion, premise, theory or hypothesis is falsifiable (or refutable) if it can be logically contradicted by an empirical test,

^{1 -} e.g. "Researchers Find Pfizer Excluded Clinical Trial Deaths From FDA COVID Vaccine EUA Request" (21-10-2023)

www.theepochtimes.com/health/researchers-find-pfizer-excluded-clinical-trial-deaths-from-fda-covid-vaccine-eua-request-5511880

^{2 –} In Torsen Engelbrecht (2021) "Virus Mania" ['About the Book' section]

^{3 –} e.g. "Criminal cases for killing eagles decline as wind turbine dangers grow" (18-5-2023) apnews.com/article/dead-eagles-wind-turbines-enforcement-biden-53ce35355433e18a27324f9254a2475a (8-5-2023)

^{4 –} e.g. "Four whales die in 4 days: Wind farms creating 'death zone' at sea says ex-Greenpeace boss" nypost.com/2023/05/08/not-unreasonable-to-link-whale-deaths-offshore-wind-farm-work-ex-greenpeace-chief-says/

^{5 -} e.g. "SA rejects offshore wind farm over lobster fears" (29-8-2023) au.news.yahoo.com/sa-rejects-offshore-wind-farm-082300852.html

^{6 -} en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Falsifiability

^{7 –} en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Empirical research

which contains empirical evidence⁸. Empirical evidence is factual, and is used to check the accuracy of a proposition. It can either support or counter a proposition. Whenever there is contrary empirical evidence, a scientific conclusion, premise, theory or hypothesis is <u>rejected</u> and research into it continues until there is NO factual contradiction.

Factual contradiction in empirical science excludes false information, subjective information, subjectively modified data, selective data, anecdotal data, hunches, propositions based on political or other agendas, etc.

Looking at what is being done in the name of science in the world by the powerful with agendas, we can certainly say that it's mostly not empirical science, it's pseudo-science.

Genuine science is also being rejected in many areas by governments because of the agendas they have subscribed to.

For example: Saving species of plants and animals is mostly not science. That is, there's rarely a scientific imperative to do so, based on specific empirical evidence. Saving species is loosely based on ecological science, but it's powered by emotion and feelings in most cases. I believe the basis for it is the same as that for the heritage listing of historical buildings and the efforts to prevent the loss of cultures. These types of "Save the xxx" (you fill in the blank) areas are full of emotional decisions, based on what-if-statements, "What could happen if we lose it?", not science. That type of question does not originate from empirical science.

An adjunct to falsifiability⁹ is **irrefutability**, with means that the results of scientific research are so accurate that they can't be refuted. That is, the results come from empirical evidence that is comprehensively sound, and can't be called into question.

However, today, the evidence for much science, especially climate, psychological and biomedical science, doesn't pass the test of irrefutably because there are 'questions' with the research that has produced the current scientific 'facts'. As Donald Miller (Professor of surgery at University of Washington, warns:

"Science prizes objective certainty. But science does not uniformly adhere to this standard. Subjective opinions and consensus among scientists often supersede the stricture of irrefutability." 10

To help us grasp the gravity of the failure of science to stick to producing that which is irrefutable, here are a number of statements by eminent medical professional Prof. Dr. Etienne de Harven, MD:¹¹

"When American journalist Celia Farber courageously published, in Harper's Magazine (March 2006) the article "Out of control—AIDS and the corruption of medical science," some readers probably attempted to reassure themselves that this "corruption" was an isolated case. This is very far from the truth as documented so well in this book. It is only the tip of the iceberg. Corruption of research is a widespread phenomenon found in many major, supposedly contagious health problems. Scientific research on viruses (or prions in the case of BSE) slipped onto the wrong track following basically the same systematic pathway which always includes several key steps: inventing the risk of a disastrous epidemic, incriminating an elusive pathogen, ignoring alternative toxic causes, manipulating epidemiology with non-verifiable numbers to maximize the false perception of an imminent catastrophe, and promising salvation with vaccines. This guarantees large financial returns. But how is it possible to achieve all of this? Simply by relying on the most powerful activator of human decision making process, i.e. FEAR!"

^{8 –} en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Empirical evidence

^{9 –} en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Falsifiability

^{10 –} Professor Donald Miller in Torsen Engelbrecht (2021) "Virus Mania" [reference 38]
(FULL BOOK TITLE: "Virus Mania: Corona/COVID-19, Measles, Swine Flu, Cervical Cancer, Avian Flu, SARS, BSE, Hepatitis C,
AIDS, Polio, Spanish Flu. How the Medical Industry Invents Epidemics, Making Billion-Dollar Profits At Our Expense" by Torsten
Engelbrecht, Claus Köhnlein, Samantha Bailey, Stefano Scoglio)

^{11 –} In Torsen Engelbrecht (2021) "Virus Mania" [Forward #1]

"We are not witnessing viral epidemics; we are witnessing epidemics of fear. And both the media and the Big Pharma carry most of the responsibility for amplifying fears, fears that happen, incidentally, to always ignite fantastically profitable business. Research hypotheses covering these areas of virus research are practically never scientifically verified with appropriate controls. Instead, they are established by "consensus." This is then rapidly reshaped into a dogma, efficiently perpetuated in a quasi-religious manner by the media, including ensuring that research funding is restricted to projects supporting the dogma, excluding research into alternative hypotheses. A key tool to keep dissenting voices out of the debate is censorship at various levels ranging from the popular media to scientific publications."

Where does the fault lie in the blind acceptance of 'settled science' and 'consensus science' by the public? Firstly, with school education, which has taught us that science only produces irrefutable facts, when it doesn't always. Secondly, with scientism¹², which is the religious status that science has reached since the mid-1800s.

"Our enthusiasm for scientific achievements has risen immeasurably. We have granted a godlike status to researchers and doctors, who still had the status of slaves in ancient Rome and even until the early 20th century were mostly poor and powerless. Because of this status, we continue to perceive them as selfless truth-seekers." ¹³

And thirdly, the media, which propagates scientific news in a way that 'hooks into' scientism and elevates the news to a deified status. This process hides the background shenanigans of politicians, the elite and Big Pharma in their manipulation of the science presented to the public. As Dr. Sam Bailey writes on her website:

"With each passing day, the new legion of 'science' journalists continue to pump out widely disseminated claims and assumptions about the SARSCov2 virus and other alleged viral outbreaks. During this atmosphere of 'public health' terror, few seem willing to question official dogma or question any of the increasingly wild claims and 'studies' being broadcast daily through mainstream media outlets and government agencies. One thing is becoming increasingly clear: the technology-driven science modern virology has a clear symbiotic relationship with the transnational pharmaceutical industry, with both feeding each other to generate new multi-billion dollar economies with each new 'epidemic.' In the end, the public are left paying and subsidizing both industries." 14

RESOURCES

★WATCH: Dr. Sam Bailey interviewing Dr. Andy Kaufman about some of the popular claims made by mainstream 'science' and modern virology about today's alleged medical knowledge - HERE

★READ BOOK: "Virus Mania: Corona/COVID-19, Measles, Swine Flu, Cervical Cancer, Avian Flu, SARS, BSE, Hepatitis C, AIDS, Polio, Spanish Flu. How the Medical Industry Invents Epidemics, Making Billion-Dollar Profits At Our Expense" by Torsten Engelbrecht, Claus Köhnlein, Samantha Bailey, Stefano Scoglio" HERE

★READ BOOK SAMPLE: "Virus Mania" [FREE] - HERE

Laurence 29-10-2023 (www.CanberraForerunners.org)

COPYRIGHT

This document is **free** to copy, republish and distribute, but only 'as is'. It is free to quote from at length.

All Canberra Forerunners' documents are licensed under

Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License

Quotes are the copyright of their authors.

^{12 - &}quot;Scientism" (18-10-2015) canberraforerunners.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/Scientism.pdf

^{13 –} Torsen Engelbrecht (2021) "Virus Mania" [Introduction]

^{14 – 21}stcenturywire.com/2021/06/09/dr-andy-kaufman-the-problem-with-the-science-of-virology